BORMAN et al. Appl. No. 10/564.010

Atty. Ref.: 620-410 Response

October 3, 2008

Rejoinder and allowance of any claim defining a method of making and/or using

a product defined by an allowable claim, at an appropriate time, are requested.

Withdrawal of the restriction requirement, at least with regard to the alleged lack

of unity as between the subject matter of the Examiner's Groups II and VI, is requested

as the claim of the Examiner's Group VI defines a method of using the compounds

defining the Examiner's Group II. The Examiner's comments on page 8 (¶(2)) of the Office Action dated September 3, 2008 suggests that the compounds of the Examiner's

Group II should be examined with the method of the Examiner's Group VI.

The Examiner has not demonstrated a lack of unity of invention as between any

of the indicated Groups of subject matter such as by citation of a novelty destroying

document.

Claims 96, 97 and 98 are believed to read on the elected species. A search of all

compounds of the elected subject matter is not believed to present an undue burden for

the Examiner and the Examiner has not demonstrated that the species of the elected

Group lack unity of invention. Withdrawal of the species election requirement and

examination of at least the subject matter of the elected Group are requested.

An early and favorable Action on the merits of the claimed subject matter is

requested.

The Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned, preferably by telephone,

in the event anything further is required.

- 2 -

1389842

BORMAN et al. Appl. No. 10/564,010 Atty. Ref.: 620-410 Response October 3, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By: /B. J. Sadoff/
B. J. Sadoff
Reg. No. 36,663

B.IS:

901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor Arlington, VA 22203-1808 Telephone: (703) 816-4000

Facsimile: (703) 816-4100